NOTICE

It has been decided to convene an Extra Ordinary General Body meeting of the Association on 29.06.2021 (Tuesday) at 6.00 p.m. through Zoom Video Conference platform to discuss the following;

- 1.To discuss the resolution moved by Adv. Surya Binoy and 114 others to discuss the issues arising out of the proposal to select Advocates practicing before Supreme Court as Judges of High Courts.
- 2.It has also been decided to place the resolution dated 16.06.2021 passed by the Executive Committee on the same issue before the General Body.
- 3. Such other matter with the permission of the chair.

RALAHIGH COUPA

Adv. T. R. Renjith Secretary Before the General Body of the Kerala High Court Advocates Association

Resolution against the proposal by Supreme Court Bar Association and Supreme
Court Advocates-on-Record Association to have their members considered for
appointment as Judges of the High Courts

Moved by Surya Binoy&114 Others

Background

The Executive Committee of Supreme Court Bar Association ("SCBA") issued a communication to its members on 08.06.2021 claiming that Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.V. Ramana, Chief Justice of India ("CJI"), has agreed to its proposal contained in a representation dated 31.05.2021. The proposal recommends that lawyers practising before the Supreme Court of India shall be considered for appointment as Judges of High Courts by respective collegia of the High Courts across the country ("the Proposal"). The Supreme Court Advocates-On-Record Association ("SCORA") has also issued a letter dated 12.06.2021 to the CJI endorsing the Proposal.

SCBA claims that the CJI has agreed to the Proposal and has written to the Chief Justices of the High Courts to facilitate its implementation. Its Executive Committee has already constituted a search committee whose function is to identify 'deserving and meritorious Supreme Court practitioners' who are fit for elevation to High Courts.

For a forum that claims to represent the most meritorious of lawyers in the country, any reference to specific constitutional provisions or conventions in support of the Proposal is conspicuously absent from SCBA's communication. SCORA on its part has requested the CJI to place an institutionalized mechanism without locating the CJI's authority in constitutional law to unilaterally alter the process of judicial appointments. While the response of the CJI to the proposal remains unconfirmed, it is important that bar associations of High Courts take active part in this crucial constitutional discourse and contest at least some of the SCBA's assertions. The Delhi

High Court Bar Association, Bar Association High Court Calcutta and Andhra Pradesh Advocates' Association have already conveyed their objections to the CJI.

Objections

- 1) Art. 217(2) of the Constitution of India prescribes the qualifications of judges of the High Court. From the bar, only a person who has, for at least ten years, been an advocate of a High Court or of two or more such Courts in succession can be considered (Article 217(2)(b)) for appointment. In computing this period, any period during which the person has held judicial office or any post requiring special knowledge of law after she became an advocate can be considered. In other words, years spent practicing at the Supreme Court do not count. As is evident from the SCBA's letter, the beneficiaries of the Proposal are lawyers who do not have regular practice before any High Court and are thus outside the permissible zone of consideration. Neither the SCBA nor the SCORA have advanced any argument that supports any other application of these provisions.
- 2) The Memorandum of Procedure for Appointment of High Court Judges¹ ("the MoP") crystallizes constitutional conventions that have evolved over half a century, through the First², Second¹ and Third⁴ Judges cases and finally the NJAC case. The Second and Third Judges cases and the NJAC case upheld judicial primacy in the matter of judicial appointments. An attempt to do away with the collegium system by way of the Constitution (99th Amendment) Act), and the National Judicial Appointments Commission Act, 2014 was thwarted by the Supreme Court as altering the basic structure of the Constitution in the NJAC case. Strangely, the SCBA has failed to refer to these dicta or even the MoP in the Proposal, much less derive any support from them.

 $^{^1}$ Available at: https://doj.gov.in/appointment-of-judges/memorandum-procedure-appointment-high-court-judges

²S.P. Gupta v. Union of India, (1981) 1 SCC 87

³ Advocates-on-Record Ass'n v. Union of India, AIR 1994 SC 268

 $^{^{4}}$ in Re: Special Reference No.1 of 1998, AIR 1999 SC 1

⁵ Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Association Vs. Union of India ,AIR 2016 SC 117

- 3) In paragraph 65 of the NJAC case, the Supreme Court reiterated the participatory role of the executive as well as the judiciary in the matter of judicial appointments. The CJI has no special powers to the exclusion of the executive in this regard or it would lead to an insular judiciary, not amenable to checks and balances. Communication or action, if any, by the CJI on these representations is therefore unconstitutional. The notion that lobbying with the CJI through representations can virtually amend the MoP is undemocratic and elitist.
- 4) In Clause 14, the MoP lays down that the proposal for appointment of a Judge of a High Court shall be initiated by the Chief Justice of the said High Court. This implies that other modes of initiation of the appointment process such as application by the individual members, nomination by other lawyers or selection by a commission in the nature of NJAC etc. are precluded. Members of the SCBA, howsoever eminent they may be, cannot have any role in initiating the process of appointment of judges of the High Court.
- 5) It is the collegium of the High Court who must nominate the lawyers practising before it for appointment as judges, as they alone have the occasion to assess their professional ability. This role for the High Court collegium had been consistently reiterated by the Supreme Court, from the First Judges case to the NJAC's case. What the SCBA and the SCORA propose to do is to substitute the assessment of the collegium by that of its members. This is impermissible, not to mention presumptuous.
- 6) As per Clause 15 of the MoP, the role of many constitutional authorities is specified and therefore limited. Involvement of bar associations is not envisaged. In NJAC's case, the Supreme Court has frowned upon the provision that enabled 'eminent persons' to have their say in the appointment of judicial appointments inter alia on the ground that they were not accountable under law. The SCBA and the SCORA, both non-statutory, extra-constitutional and extra-legal entities, are not accountable under public law, and therefore cannot have their inputs placed before any High Court collegium.

- 7) To assert that the lawyers of the Supreme Court can influence and can be considered for appointment as judges at the High Court, but not vice-versa, is a serious disservice to the idea of federalism. The Union Judiciary and the State Judiciary are paramount in their own spheres of jurisdiction and one is not subordinate to the other.
- 8) The SCBA asserts that lawyers practicing at the Supreme Court are more meritorious than their counter-parts at the High Court. The Kerala High Court Advocates Association must record its strongest objection to this claim that has no backing other than the SCBA's delusion of grandeur.
- 9) The SCBA laments the disproportionate presence of women on High Court benches and locates its reason in the alleged absence of competent women among the bar in the High Courts. The Kerala High Court Advocates Association must assert its objection to this irresponsible and disparaging remark in the strongest terms.
- 10) The letter does not delineate what principles or procedure has been put forth in the Proposal to ensure that the Search Committee will only identify those with integrity, honesty, merit and independence for the purpose of elevation. It also has no ideas to ensure adequate representation of women and other underrepresented sections among the nominees furnished by the search committee.

In the circumstances, it is proposed that the Kerala High Court Advocates Association passes a resolution opposing the Proposal in the following terms:

Resolution

We, the members of the Kerala High Court Advocates Association (KHCAA), strongly oppose the proposal allegedly put forth by the Supreme Court Bar Association (SCBA) and the Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Association (SCORA) before the Chief Justice of India (CJI) to request High Court collegia to consider lawyers at the Supreme Court who do not have sufficient practice before any High Court for

appointment as judges of High Courts. While the CJI has no power to alter the extant method of judicial appointments, the proposal does not boast of any backing in constitutional provisions or conventions. On the contrary, it undermines judicial primacy in the matter of appointments by permitting a search committee constituted by the SCBA to initiate the process of appointment bypassing the Chief Justice of the respective High Court and by substituting the committee's assessment of professional ability for that by the High Court collegium. Non-statutory, extraconstitutional, extra-legal entities such as the SCBA or the SCORA, which are not accountable under public law, cannot have their inputs placed before a High Court collegium to the exclusion of other bar associations. We, the members of the KHCAA, record our strongest objection to the assertion that lawyers practising at the Supreme Court are more meritorious than their counter-parts at the High Courts and in particular, take exception to the insinuation that women lawyers at the High Court are not fit for elevation to the bench.

We, members of the KHCAA, hereby call upon the CJI not to initiate any action in furtherance of the proposals put forth by the SCBA or the SCORA. In furtherance of his obligation to uphold the Constitution of India and constitutional conventions, we urge the CJI to protect the role of the collegia of the High Courts in judicial appointments to the High Court. We further request the collegium headed by the Chief Justice, High Court of Kerala, to disregard any inputs put forth by the SCBA or the SCORA or the search committee in the matter of appointments of judges of the High Court of Kerala. We further resolve and authorize the office bearers of the KHCAA to write to the CJI recording our objections in these terms.

estamp	Name	Email	Phone Number	Sl. No.
6/13/2021 23:22:28	Surya Binoy	suryabinoy@gmail.com	9745639911	1
	Raaga Ramalakshmi	Raaga.rr@gmail.com	9746169762	2
	Mitha Sudhindran	mitha.sudhindran@gmail.com	9895036556	3
6/14/2021 8:38:40		adv.nuriyaaali@gmail.com	8086730391	4
	**************************************		9567047178	5
6/14/2021 8:41:55			9846507130	
		Parvathy.03@gmail.com		6
	Ramola Nayanpally	ramola nayanpally@gmail.com	9539272389	7
6/14/2021 10:01:39		parvathipkumar22@gmail.com	9895579799	8
6/14/2021 10:05:10		divyadinoop@gmail.com	9446400019	9
6/14/2021 10:55:51	Mekhala Benny	mekhalabenny@gmail.com	9497037581	10
6/14/2021 11:21:37	Neelanjana Nair	advneelanjana@gmail.com	9847527451	11
6/14/2021 11:32:17	PARVATHY KOTTOL	kottolparvathy@gmail.com	9895623107	12
6/14/2021 11:34:50	Ann Maria Francis	marlaannfrancis@gmail.com	08762048146	13
6/14/2021 11:35:40		rajendran.anjali@gmail.com	9447787344	14
6/14/2021 11:54:58	hataninarno contepanyos barransanomos	Adv.jessyroy@gmail.com	+9188891339181	15
6/14/2021 12:02:59				
		meenabalan03@yahoo.com	94464162128	16
6/14/2021 12:08:00		advnamithajyothish@gmail.com	9846865819	17
6/14/2021 12:08:54	Lakshmi Shenoy	advlakshmi@gmail.com	9496340865	18
6/14/2021 12:12:50	Surya, J	advsurya@gmail.com	9745610799	19
6/14/2021 12:13:36	Telma Raju	telmaraju@gmail.com	09544630336	. 20
6/14/2021 12:16:15	Salini Lal	salinilal_a@rediffmail.com	9947732757	21
6/14/2021 12:19:47		my3.sachchi@gmail.com	9008740678	22
6/14/2021 12:22:11		karthika@ninanandmathew.com	7591925501	23
6/14/2021 12:28:46		advrekhavn@gmail.com	9447709378	24
				former and the second s
6/14/2021 12:30:26		advannapoorna@gmail.com	9809888050	25
6/14/2021 12:33:01		itz.shahid@gmail.com	9605065497	. 26
6/14/2021 12:44:00		karthika@ninanandmathew.com		Same as entry 24- duplicate
6/14/2021 12:44:40	M Shajna	advshajna@gmail.com	9847031063	27
6/14/2021 12:47:29	K. G.Sarojini	saroambady@gmail.com	9995076591	28
6/14/2021 12:51:11	Yes	dhanya76venugopal@gmail.com	9496215659	No name
6/14/2021 12:52:46	Aruna A	aruna.a@hotmail.co.in	9645095504	29
	KRISHNAKUMARI K.R.	krk2270@hotmail.com	9495988057	30
	Karthika Sukumaran	Sukumarankarthika@gmail.com	9447460033	31
		Control of the Contro	98477 54118	
	Marian G M Tharakan	marian@acecorplegal.com		32
	Anu Thankam Mathew	adv.anumathew@gmail.com	9846305740	33
6/14/2021 13:12:29	production computer arrangement and arrangement are	rejidinesh@gmail.com	9744399881	34
6/14/2021 13:14:44	Savitha Ganapathiyatan	advsavithanambiar@gmail.com	9746072922	35
6/14/2021 13:22:15	Nichu Willington	nichuwillington@gmail.com	9746495430	36
6/14/2021 13:32:21	Rashmi	rashmikvarma@gmail.com	9388857471	37
6/14/2021 13:32:32	Raghul Sudheesh	raghulsudheesh@gmail.com	+919400060160	38
6/14/2021 13:38:22	\$1000 Territoria (1000 Territoria)	syamadv@gmail.com	9847296255	39
	grand grand or each black manus become a contract of the			
	Sally Thomas Chacko	advstchacko@gmail.com	9846045721	40
6/14/2021 14:09:47	Secretary Control and Control	bobymsekhar@gmail.com	9037224314	41
6/14/2021 14:25:14	Anupama Johny	anupamajohny@gmail.com	+919846091327	42
6/14/2021 14:59:50	Adithya Rajeev	a dithyarajeev 09@gmail.com	08089090850	43
6/14/2021 15:00:03	Asif Ali MH	advmhasifali@gmail.com	8089056893	44
6/14/2021 15:01:27	Mansoor B.H	bhmansoor59@gmail.com	9895874893	45
6/14/2021 15:03:43	Neenu Pavithran	neenupavithran6@gmail.com	9633228873	46
6/14/2021 15:04:55		manjunath.menon@gmail.com	9496839274	47
6/14/2021 15:05:25		advndeepa@gmail.com	9446369490	48
	process and the second			
	Santhosh Peter Mamalay		+919447343652	49
6/14/2021 15:08:41		advkabani@gmail.com	9895510773	50
	Adv. Amal Dharsan	amaldhan.ms@gmail.com	7012523929	51
6/14/2021 15:12:03	Girish Kumar M S	msgirlshkumar274@gmail.com	9567899544	52
6/14/2021 15:16:10	Thara Thamban	tharathamban@gmail.com	09847586894	53
6/14/2021 15:21:10	T. Rajasekharan Nair	nairrajasekharan57@yahoo.com	9447459829	54
6/14/2021 15:22:57	produced and a second contract of	dr.silpas@gmail.com	9999090901	55
6/14/2021 15:24:41		azizpa@gmail.com	9846102350	56
			9847055770	
6/14/2021 15:24:56		ayshayouseff@gmail.com		
6/14/2021 15:48:38		manusrinath@gmail.com	9400897108	
6/14/2021 15:53:44		shyamksankar@gmail.com	09746352007	59
6/14/2021 15:59:46	Ranju Mohan	advranjumohan@gmail.com	09567668004	60
6/14/2021 16:01:07	A Sreekala	sreekalanaduvath@yahoo.in	9847295176	61
6/14/2021 16:01:13		dr.silpas@gmail.com	9999090901	Same entry as 55-duplicate
6/14/2021 16:04:07		jaimohan@rediffmail.com	07034730446	62
	Rahul Kandampully	Rahul kandampully@gmail.com	9645875576	
			8714301022	
6/14/2021 16:20:23		advrenijames@gmail.com		
6/14/2021 16:36:33		advmartinjose@gmail.com	9447121362	
6/14/2021 17:01:47	Ranjith Thampan	thampan.thampan@gmail.com	9388620160	
		a biblish also manage (Amazell anna	+917511195550	. 67
6/14/2021 17:06:24 6/14/2021 17:15:25		abhishekvszoom@gmail.com advtksajeev@gmail.com	9447065750	

Timestamp	Name	Email	Phone Number	SI. No.	
6/14/2021 17:19:34	VIGY. K. N	Vijivsanthu08@ gmail. Com	9539841390	69	
6/14/2021 17:30:55	DHANYA.EU	dhanya.eu@gmail.com	9048934573	70	
6/14/2021 17:52:47	Reeha Khader	reehakhader@gmail.com	9747882065	71	
6/14/2021 18:49:17	DIVYA C BALAN	abhinav2011.db@gmail.com	9847488668	72	
6/14/2021 18:51:51	Latha	advocatelathak@gmail.cin	9447131783	73	
6/14/2021 18:56:37	Ranjith Narayanan	advranjithhc@gmail.com	9847248483	74	
6/14/2021 19:10:16	Ruben George Rock	ruben.rock1991@gmail.com	8089833747	75	
6/14/2021 19:12:58	Molly Jacob	mollyjacobkallath@hotmail.com	9847064770	76	
6/14/2021 19:14:46	Lakshmi Rajan	laxsraj@gmail.com	9633106959	77	
6/14/2021 19:34:47		ravi21asok@gmail.com	9496808078	78	
6/14/2021 19:36:59	Aneceh S	aneeshsrekumar@gmail.com	9496815692	79	
6/14/2021 19:38:45	Leela Radhakrishnan	a dvle elana rayanan@gmail.com	+919847224362	80	
6/14/2021 19:42:54	Aswini sankar	aswinisankar333@gmail.com	8547089333	81	
6/14/2021 19:53:57	Krishna S	krishnathoppil@gmail.com	9539598005	82 ′	
6/14/2021 20:14:06		jennis stephen@gmail.com	9446122180	83	
6/14/2021 20:57:47	Nandagopal S Kurup	s.nandagopalkurup@gmail.com	9946056111	84	
	Ananthu Bahuleyan	adv.ananthubahuleyan@gmail.com	9995358984	85	
6/14/2021 22:05:18	Hrithwik D. Namboothiri	hrithupalleri7788@gmail.com	09400095966	86	
6/14/2021 22:40:15	Joby Curiac	joby. adv@gmail.com	9349146866	87	
6/15/2021 8:48:01	or the second se	deepamahesh1982.dm@gmail.com	9847378160	88	
6/15/2021 11:36:07	National Control of the Control of t	nair.nirmal@gmail.com	09847939926	89	
6/15/2021 11:38:16	DEEPU THANKAN	deeputhankan@gmail.com	+919846048308	90	
6/15/2021 11:53:36		prijithprabhakar35@gmail.com	9847006416	91	
6/15/2021 11:57:28		riji.rajendran@gmail.com	09048433344	92	
		n Jeevanmath2@gmail.com	09048028623	93	
6/15/2021 12:19:41	order of the section	nisha poonthottam@gmail.com	7736514376	94	
	SUNIL V MOHAMMED	sunmohd@gmail.com	9846496266	95	
6/15/2021 12:58:39		rimiju@gmail.com	7034783050	96	
6/15/2021 13:01:00	DR PRADEEP K P	advpradeepkp@gmail.com	+919446462003	. 97	
6/15/2021 13:08:18		anumenon008@gmail.com	7356053993	98	
	Sherry J Thomas	sherryjthomas@gmail.com	+919447200500	99	
6/15/2021 13:25:12		syamanthakbs@gmail.com	9947204783	100	
6/15/2021 13:27:30		advocatekrdeepa@gmail.com	9446533123	3 101	
6/15/2021 13:31:2		vaharitha1@gmail.com	9567699806	102	
6/15/2021 13:32:50		thasmitaj@gmail.com	8281366938	103	
6/15/2021 13:33:10		binduk n k@gmail.com	+919871741444	104	
	2 SHEEBA MARIYAM J	sheebaaliasalias0408@gmail.com	944746596	1 105	
	7 Geetha kumari.PS	a dvg eethakumari@gmail.com	994757389	7 106	
	8 Jestina Mariam Joseph	alana800@gmail.com	828116768	8 107	
	7 Jestina mariam joseph	alana800@gmail.com	828116768	8 Same entry as 107-duplicate	
6/15/2021 14:33:1	and the second s	rakhipradeep@gmail.com	944723170	3 108	
6/15/2021 15:20:1		adv.venusiva@gmail.com	949512518	109	
6/15/2021 15:30:0	and acceptance of the property	a dv. ajithkr@gmail.com	944715173	3 110	
	3 Lakshmi Sreedhar	a dv@lakshmisreedhar.com	812994857	7 111	
	3 Lindons C Davis	lindons.c.davis@gmail.com	964566931	3 112	
	8 Mary Beena Joseph	beenageorgeadv@gmail.com	949542559	3 113	
	1 Hariraj Madhav Rajendr	NAMES OF THE OWNER OF THE PARTY OF THE OWNER OWN	944707246		
6/16/2021 11:43:2		advagsk777@gmail.com	09447274815	115	